MANAGING COMMON GI PROBLEMS IN STUDENT HEALTH CLINICS

Cheryl Flynn*, MD, MS, MA University of Vermont NECHA 2014

*no affiliations to disclose



Objectives: evaluation & treatment of

Upper GI problems

- 1. Gastroesophageal Reflux disease (GERD)
- 2. Peptic ulcer disease (PUD)
- Functional Dyspepsia (FD) (or the condition formerly known as NUD...nonulcer dyspepsia)

Lower GI problems

- 4. Celiac disease
- 5. Lactose intolerance
- Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)

 Inflammatory bowe diseases (IBD)
 Crohns
 Ulcerative colitis



Dyspepsia

Greek: dys = "bad" or "difficult" + pepsis "digestion"
Sensation of pain or discomfort in the upper abdomen; it often is recurrent. It may be described as indigestion, gassiness, early satiety, postprandial fullness, gnawing, or burning

- Types/syndromes
- GERD: heartburn
- PUD: ulceration of stomach or duodenum
- FD: without evidence of an organic disease that is likely to explain
- the symptoms

GastroEsophageal Reflux Disease



GERD

What is it?

 Regurgitation of stomach contents into the esophagus leading to mucosal changes and/or symptoms of heartburn

Prevalence

- Very common in US
- 44% adults experience monthly sx; 14% weekly; 7% daily
 22% of any college students, and weekly sx in 7% in one Pakistani study

Gastroenterology 2002; 122:1500 J Pak Med Assoc 2010; 60:147

GERD: history & physical GERD: accuracy of symptoms Exam Usually negative Sensitivity Specificity LR+ LR- Neither sensitive or Relief from PPIs 78 54 1.7 0.41 specific findings to aid in 68 52 1.42 0.62 Heartburn dx Acid regurgitation 60 52 1.25 0.77 Obesity = risk fx Epigastric pain 54 47 1.01 0.98 Clinician gestalt 59 83 3.5 0.5 significant wt loss; dysphagia; persistent vomiting; +FHx gastroesophageal malignancy Lancet 1990; 335: 205



GERD: accuracy of tests						
	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-		
Omeprazole challenge*	78	85	5	0.3		
pH probe**	80	73	3	0.3		
Endoscopy/EGD***	30	78	1.4	0.9		
Clinician's subjective sense of GERD, and response to tx are the best to rule in GERD						
*Gastroenter 1998; 115:42 **Ann Surg 1984; 200:724 ***Dig Dis Sci 2000; 45: 217						

GERD: treatment options

Lifestyle modification

- Altered diet—avoid ETOH, caffeine, acidic foods, peppermint Mechanical—staying upright 3+hr post prandial, loose clothing, smaller meals, elevate head o' bed for nighttime sx
- Discontinue tobacco use
- Lose weight

Symptoms

Atypical sx:

Retrosternal burning

Regurgitation, sour taste

Cough, laryngitis, sore throat

• Tob, ETOH, meds = risk fx

- (cisapride, metoclopramide)
- Calcium carbonate and carafate less effective than other meds; consider for adjunctive tx

- Reserved for truly recalcitrant cases

A comment about PPIs

- PPIs more effective than H2Bs (NNT=3) Though 75% of people w/ mild to moderate GERD effectively tx w/ H2Bs; 50% of those w/ severe GERD
- Within class, meds equivalent efficacy
- · Long-term use of PPIs demonstrated to be safe
- Millions of patients over last few decades
- Tiny increased risk of pneumonia (NNH=449/yr)
- Long-term, high dose PPI → slt incr risk of hip fx r/t osteoporosis (OR 2.6)



Dyspepsia w/o GERD

- Peptic ulcer disease (PUD) • H Pylori infection (95% DU, 75% GU)
- Chronic NSAID use (includes asa, COX2 inhib)
- Functional dyspepsia (aka nonulcer dyspepsia, NUD) Diagnosis of exclusion; must r/o other causes
- ~70% of those presenting w/ dyspepsia have no organic cause found on testing

Prevalence

- Dyspepsia—16.3% US adult population (meta-analysis)*
 PUD—6.8% US adult population**
- Limited data on college population: ~9% in one Chinese study***
 ^{*}Am J Managed Care 2011; 17: 3449
 **Vital Health Stat 2005; 10: www2.niddk.nih.gov
 ***Vital Health Stat 2005; 10: www2.niddk.nih.gov
 ***ClaSONE 2013; 8: e54183

Dyspepsia: history & physical

Symptoms

- Epigastric pain/discomfort, nausea, early satiety, bloating
- Sx slt more predictive of PUD:



Red Flag S/Sx: new onset sx ≥55y/o; wt loss >5%; jaundice; palpable mass; GI bleed or anemia

Exam

Often normal

tenderness

Possible epigastric

H&P Accuracy: dyspepsia → PUD

	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-
Food reduces pain	39	88	3.25	0.69
Episodic pain	80	65	2.30	0.31
Epigastric pain	68	62	1.8	0.52
Tenderness, deep palpation	52	27	0.71	1.78
Tenderness, light palpation	4	75	0.16	1.3
Clinician Gestalt			2.2	0.45

JAMA 2006; 295: 1566-76. LOE 1

H&P Accuracy: dyspepsia→FD

	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-
Tenderness, deep palpation	77%	38%	1.24	0.61
Tenderness, light palpation	20%	81%	1.10	0.99
Clinical gestalt			1.90	0.40

JAMA 2006; 295: 1566-76. LOE 1

Dyspepsia: diagnosing PUD

- 1. Empiric treatment (aka clinical dx only)
- 2. Test and treat strategy (HPylori) (NNT = 8)
- 3. Double contrast Upper GI Barium (UGI)
- 4. Endoscopy

	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-			
UGI	74	93	10.7	0.27			
EGD	95	98	41.3	0.05			
Kiil Scan J Gastro 1980: 1!							

Which dx approach to take?

- Test & treat strategy is most cost-effective*
 Demo'd if prevalence rate of H.Pylori >10%
 - US & Canada ~25-30% **
 - Most other countries much higher (Mexico 70-90%; Asia 50-80% Middle East 80-90%
 - In dyspepsia with +H.Pylori, eradication treatment→sx resolution (NNT=8)***
- Endoscopy is the gold standard
 If red flag s/sx, or no response to eradication tx, consider EGD
 In areas with limited specialty services, UGI option for primary care settings



Accuracy: H. Pylori testing					
	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-	
Urea breath test	89	100	178	0.1	
Stool antigen	96	90	9.3	0.05	
Serum antibody	81	88	7.0	0.2	
			Gatta Gut Gastro 19 Gastro 19 Dig Dis Sci Dig Dis Sci 1	2006; 55:45 95; 109: 13 1998; 33: 36 1998; 43:10 999; 44:230	

PUD treatment = H. Pylori eradication

Regimen	Eradication Rate	Duration	Notes
PPI bid + amoxicilin 1 g bid + clarithromycin 500 mg bid	80%-90%	7-14 days	7 days vs 14 days had similar eradication
PPI bid + clarithromycin 500 mg bid + metronidazole 500 mg bid	80%-90%	7-14 days	Useful for penicillin allergy
2 tablets of 262 mg bismuth subsalicylate, metronidazole 500 mg, and 2 g amoxicillin suspension, all taken 4 times over the course of the day, along with 60 mg lansoprazole taken once	95%	1 day	1 day regimen - only studied in a single clinical trial POEM 60264
PPI plus 1 g amoxicillin for 5 days followed by PPI, clarithromycin 500 mg and tinidazole 500 mg for 5 days (all given bid)	93.4%	10 days	Sequential therapy has good evidence of efficacy Ann Intern Med 2008; 148: 923-931
Bismuth (Pepto-Bismol) 2 tablets daily, metronidazole 250 mg daily, tetracycline 500 mg daily (f intolerant allergic may substitute amoxicilin 1 g bid) plus either H2 blocker or PPI daily	75%-85%	14 days	NIH Conventional Triple Therapy: Inexpensive, but more complicated. Useful for failed triple therapy and penicillin allergy.
NOTE: The recommended duration of PPI therapy is 8 weeks for gastric ulcer and 4 v	veeks for duodena	l ulcer, PPI	denotes proton pump inhibitor; bid, twice daily.

FD treatment

- Dietary changes—no data to support Though reasonable to recommend avoiding triggering foods, weight loss if obese
- H2Blockers and PPIs are effective Double dosing not superior to standard dosing
 PPIs not superior to H2Bs
- Tricyclic antidepressants effective, if comorbid anxiety or depression (NNT=3)
- · Other non-pharm options w/ limited but supportive data CBT small benefit, but short duration
- Acupuncture superior to sham-acupuncture in one RCT
- Hypnotherapy





Celiac Disease

- What is it?
 - Genetically-based, immune-mediated response to gluten causing small bowel malabsorption HLA types DQ2 & DQ8
- Prevalence 0.8-1% U.S. population Similar rates worldwide, with exception of East Asia and sub-Saharan Africa
- Blacks, Asians & nonwhite Hispanics rates about 1/2 of Whites

Celiac: History & Physical

- Symptoms
- bloating, flatulence, chronic diarrhea &/or constipation, abdominal pain
- <u>Exam</u> skin manifestation: dermatitis herpetiformis possible weight loss
- classic GI sx \rightarrow 2.3 fold \rightarrow limited benefit increase in dx vs general pop



Celiac: Value of symptoms

Signs & Symptoms	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-
Sx since childhood	35	89	3.2	0.7
Flatulence/gas	76	43	1.3	0.6
Loss of appetite	20	81	1.1	1.0
Diarrhea	71	21	0.9	1.4

Am J Gastro 995; 90:394 LOE 4

Celiac: Diagnostic Tests

- · IgA anti-tissue transglutaminase
- IgA anti-endomysial antibody Lack of gluten exposure → false negative testing IgA deficiency → false negative
- No longer used anti-gliadin
- Definitive dx = resolution of sx on gluten free diet
- Gold Standard= biopsy (small bowel or skin if DH)

Celiac: Test accuracy						
Test	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-		
Endomysial antibody	87	99	87	0.1		
Tissue Transglutiaminase antibody (tTG)	87	97	29	0.1		
Gliadin peptide antibody IgG	88	94	15	0.1		
JAMA 2010; 303:1743 LOE 1a						









Lactose Intolerance

• What is it?

- Syndrome of GI sx following the ingestion of lactose
 Severity will depend of amt of lactose consumed, level of lactase
 deficiency
- Cause: lactase deficiency, primary vs secondary (acquired); genetic LI (rare), developmental LI

Prevalence

- Primary lactase deficiency: 70-75% world pop
- in N America: Native Americans 79%; Blacks 75%; Hispanics 51%; Whites 21%
- Self reported LI ~12%
- ~10% prevalence in one Ohio State study
 Am J Clin Nutr 1988; 48:1079
 - Nutrition Today, 10/200

Lactose info vs

- Enzyme deficiency limiting absorbtion of mild sugars
- GI sx only
- .
- More often begins in adolescence/young adulthood

Milk Allergy

- IgE mediated response to milk proteins
- Can cause GI sx, but also systemic sx like hives or wheezing
- More often in infants, can outgrow

LI: history & physical

<u>Symptoms</u>

 Abd pain, bloating, diarrhea, borborygmi, nausea

Exam Nonspecific

- Usually not helpful
- Onset w/in 3 hr of consuming lactosecontaining products



Red Flags bloody diarrhea, wt loss, fever, loss of appetite, signs of obstruction or perforation

LI: Accuracy of history

	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-
Diarrhea	39%	90%	3.90	0.680
Borborygmi	65%	75%	2.60	0.470
Bloating	70%	69%	2.30	0.430
Abdominal pain	55%	72%	2.00	0.630
Nausea	41%	71%	1.40	0.830

Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008; 27: 659

LI: diagnostic tests

- Hydrogen breath test
- Fasting pt consumes lactose load; serially measure H2 excretion over 3 hr
- Noninvasive, cost ~\$125
- Lactose tolerance test
- Measure serial blood glucose following lactose load
 Fecal pH
- Decreases b/c formation of fatty acids r/t CHO malabsorption
- Not used b/c high rates false positives and negatives
- Duodenal biopsy, measure lactase levels
- LCT gene
 - CC genotype 100% correlation with +breath test; CT intermediate, and TT = lactase persistent

LI: diagnostic tests

	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-
Breath test*	88%	85%	5.9	0.14
Lactose tolerance test*	94%	90%	9.4	0.67
Genetic test**	89%	96%	22	0.1

*Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2012; 35:429; LOE 1 **Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008; 27: 265; LOE 4

LI: Treatment

- Lactose-free or reduced diet
 Variable quantities of lactose tolerated; typically 1 cup milk OK
- Beware 'hidden' sources of lactose
 Powdered sauces, cheese flavored salty snacks
 Some medications!

Addition of lactase Lactaid tablets

- Lactase drops
- Addition of probiotics not effective
 Though some people with LI tolerate yogurt, kefir

Ann Intern Med 2010; 152(12):797-803. LOE 3a

Lactose intolerance: bottomline

- Suspect LI if abd pain, diarrhea, gas, bloating following lactose consumption
- Diagnosis can often be made clinically
 Food & symptom diary
 Elimination diet→resolution of sx
- If questionable, breath test suffices for dx
- Treatment is lactose reduced/free diet
- Remember dairy does not equate w/ lactose



IBS

What is it?

- Disorder that causes abdominal pain and change in stooling pattern; "functional" vs structural
- No clear etiology; generally dx of ruling out other causes
 Manning Criteria 1995—empirical assessment of sx clusters

• Prevalence in US 10-15%

- Female:Male 2:1; White:Black 1:1
- NCHA 2013 2.8%

IBS: symptoms \rightarrow diagnosis

	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-
Looser stools at onset of pain	58	73	2.1	0.6
More frequent stools at onset of pain	53	72	1.9	0.7
Pain relieved by defecations	60	66	1.8	0.6
Visible abd distension	39	77	1.7	0.8
Feeling incomplete evacuation	74	45	1.4	0.6
3 or 4 Manning Criteria	63	85	4.2	0.4
BMJ 1978; 2:66 LOE				





IE	IBS: diagnostic tests						
۰C	iagnosis o	of exclusio	on: ruling c	out, not rul	ing in		
	Bowel sx→organic dx (NOT IBS!)						
		Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-		
	CRP	50	81	2.6	0.6		
	ESR>10	58	72	2.1	0.6		
	Gastroenterology 2002; 123:450 LOE 2b						

IBS referral?

- Acceptable to make diagnosis clinically Need not refer all students to GI for colonoscopy to formally dx IBS
- College students LOW risk population for organic conditions
 - Prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in 26y/o's 0.33%* Crohns 21/10⁵ + Ulcerative colitis 12/10⁵
 - Malignancy rates even lower

BMJ 1998; 316:1058 LOE 2



Treatment for IBS flavors IBS-D (lotronex) 5-HT3 receptor antagonist; strict Rx IBS-C

regs, reserved for those who fail tx



- (zelnorm)
- 5-HT4 receptor agonist Modest benefit but pulled from market 2007 b/c CV adv effects;
- available via restricted use only



And the winner is					
	# studies	#patients	RR	NNT	
Fiber**	12	591	0.87	11	
Antispasmodics**	22	1778	0.68	5	
Probiotics*	10	918	0.71	4	
Peppermint oil**	4	392	0.43	2.5	
Rx: 2 tabs twic	ce a day!	'	*Gut 20	10. 59. 32	
			**BMJ 2008; 337:a2313		

IBS: Nonpharm/supplemental tx

- CBT--Small benefit noted in summary studies
- Exercise-- single RCT demo's benefit
- Accupuncture, Hypnotherapy--inconclusive
- Chinese medicine— slt benefit but poor quality studies

