*Herbal medicines

Current research on effectiveness,

pharmacology, and safety

Guido Masé RH(AHG) Vermont Center for Integrative Herbalism www.vtherbcenter.org



*Introductory notes

Herbal medicine is an old discipline Its practice is nature-driven Traditionally, uses whole plants High-potency extracts are recent Effects are generally mild Habitual use often most effective



*Introductory notes

Pharmacology is often complex:

- Multiple countervailing mechanisms
- Synergy

Traditionally understood as a whole "system":

- Plants as personalities
- Ecology-like analogies to describe effects





Allium sativum

How used: whole food, proprietary extracts (AGE, Kyolic e.g.)

Why used: Cardiovascular disease. Plasma lipid and cholesterol management, hypertension, potentially stomach and colorectal cancer

Pharmacology: Largely pungent sulfur-containing compounds, such as allicin





Plasma lipid and cholesterol management:

Reid, Toben, Fakler 2013

Building on:

Garlic for treating hypercholesterolemia. A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Stevinson C, Pittler MH, Ernst E. Ann Intern Med. 2000 Sep 19;133(6):420-9. The impact of garlic on lipid parameters: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Reinhart KM, Talati R, White CM, Coleman CI.

Nutr Res Rev. 2009 Jun;22(1):39-48. A meta-analysis of randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trials for the effects of garlic on serum lipid profiles.

Zeng T, Guo FF, Zhang CL, Song FY, Zhao XL, Xie KQ.

J Sci Food Agric. 2012 Jul;92(9):1892-902

Study	Total serum cholesterol (mg/dL)	Mean Difference [95% CI]
Bhushan et al. (1979) ²⁴		-31.80 [-53.58, -10.02]
Bordia (1981)25	e	-70.00 [-111.59, -28.41]
Barrie et al. (1987)26		-12.90 [-34.48, 8.68]
Lau et al. (1987)27		-34.00 [-84.37, 16.37]
Sitprija et al. (1987)28		7.10 [-34.55, 48.75]
Plengvidhya et al. (1988)	29	-6.00 [-40.91, 28.91]
Auer et al. (1990)30		-18.00 [-37.72, 1.72]
Mader (1990) ³¹		-24.20 [-34.94, -13.46]
Vorberg & Schneider (19	90)32	-51.00 [-64.88, -37.12]
Gadkari & Joshi (1991)33		-33.30 [-42.55, -24.05]
Jain et al. (1993) ³⁵		-13.00 [-34.30, 8.30]
Kiesewetter et al. (1993)	36	-21.90 [-44.28, 0.48]
Phelps & Harris (1993)3		0.00 [-29.22, 29.22]
DeASantos & Gruenwald	(1993) ³⁸	-11.60 [-25.46, 2.26]
Saradeth et al. (1994)39		-9.70 [-28.42, 9.02]
Simons et al. (1995)40		1.90 [-8.70, 12.50]
Neil et al. (1996)41		-4.50 [-14.40, 5.40]
Adler & Holub (1997)42		-30.20 [-59.48, -0.92]
Yeh et al. (1997)43	-	-20.00 [-33.86, -6.14]
Berthold et al. (1998)44	_ _	3.30 [-7.48, 14.08]
Bordia et al. (1998)45	-	-47.80 [-67.48, -28.12]
Isaacsonn et al. (1998)**	trial.orm B	4.50 [-10.61, 19.61]
Ranmani et al. (1999)**		-21.20 [-40.76, -1.64]
Superko & Krauss (2000)48	6.00 [-8.50, 20.50]
Zhang et al. (2000)49		0.80 [-22.52, 24.12]
Kannar et al. (2001)50	A mre-	-40.30 [-09.70, -22.04]
Zhang et al. (2001) - 4 mar		4 70 [-27.20, 11.00]
Satityipawaa at al. (2003)	\53 —	-0.50 [-13.00, 23.00]
Budoff of ol (2004)54		-5.80 [-118.42, 106.82]
Topomoi et al. (2004)55	-+-	-0.10[-11.79, 11.59]
Ashraf et al. (2004)56		-25 25 [-36 46 -14 04]
Williams et al. (2005)57		3 90 [-20 09 27 89]
Macan et al. (2006)58		-24 20 [-47 41 -0 99]
Sobenin et al. (2008)60		-29 30 [-50 84 -7 76]
Sobenin et al. (2010) ⁶¹	-	-23.00 [-27.2118.79]
Han et al. (2011) ²⁰		4.10 [-18.15, 26.35]
Total (n=27 triala)		
10tal (II=37 trials)	♦	-15 25 [-20 72 -0 78]
n < 0.00001		100
P - 0.00001	Favors garlic Favors cor	ntrol



Risk of cancer:

Fleischauer, Poole, Arab 2000

High heterogeneity of trials Confounding factors present

VERY high consumption levels in studies showing greatest effects (2 bulbs/d)

Model and references	Fixed- effects estimate	Random- effects estimate	P
All cancers, <i>n</i> = 22 RRs (4-17, 19, 21-24)	0.65 (0.58, 0.72)	0.63 (0.50, 0.80)	<0.0001
All cancers, excluding the studies by Dorant et al (10, 16, 23, 24), ² $n = 18$ RRs (4-9, 11-15, 17, 19, 21, 22)	0.57 (0.51, 0.64)	0.54 (0.43, 0.67)	<0.0001
Colorectal cancers, $n = 8$ RRs (5, 11–16)	0.72 (0.61, 0.85)	0.66 (0.48, 0.91)	0.003
Colorectal cancers, excluding the study by Dorant et al (16), $^2 n = 7$ RRs (5, 11-15)	0.67 (0.56, 0.80)	0.60 (0.44, 0.83)	0.02
Colorectal cancers, excluding the studies by Dorant et al $(16)^2$ and Iscovich et al (11) , $n = 6$ RRs (5, 12-15)	0.71 (0.59, 0.86)	0.69 (0.55, 0.89)	0.17
Stomach cancers, $n = 5$ RRs (6-10)	0.57 (0.47, 0.70)	0.61 (0.37, 1.03)	<0.0001
Stomach cancers, excluding the study by Dorantet al (10) , $n^2 = 4$ RRs (6-9)	0.54 (0.44, 0.66)	0.53 (0.31, 0.92)	0.0002
⁷ Mean (\pm SD) consumption for the highest category of or both (RC) was 18.3 \pm 14.2 g/wk for all studies con report cutoffs for RC garlic consumption.	f raw garl nbined. F	ic, cooke our studie	d garlic, es did not
² The studies by Dorant et al examined garlic supplen incidence.	nents exc	lusively a	nd cancer

³Iscovich et al's study combined garlic, onions, and peppers into a single exposure category.



Safety concerns:

Altered coagulability?

Macan et al. 2006, n=30. 5ml aged garlic extract, 12 weeks, while on warfarin revealed no events of hemorrhage.

Scharbert et al. 2007, n=18 healthy volunteers. No effects on platelet function after 1 dose of 4.2g, or after 5 days at same dosage. This is a low dose for colorectal cancer, minimal dose for plasma cholesterol.

*Horse Chestnut

Aesculus hippocastanum

How used: liquid extracts, encapsulated powdered seed

Why used: Chronic venous insufficiency. Varicosities, incl. hemorrhoids though usually on legs. Includes topical use.

Pharmacology: Anti-inflammatory triterpene saponin, aescin





*Horse Chestnut

Cochrane Collaborative, Pittler and Ernst, 2012:

Six trials (n=543) show reduction in pain, edema, leg circumference and edema. Similar to compression stockings.

Safety concerns: generally low and infrequent adverse events (Greeske 1996; Leskow 1996) reporting pruritus, nausea, gastrointestinal complaints, headache and dizziness in 43 of 6183 patients (0.7%)

In pregnancy: Steiner 1990: n=52 pregnant women with venous insufficiency. 300 mg extract (equivalent to 50 mg aescin) or a placebo twice daily for 2 weeks. The extract was superior to the placebo in reducing oedema and symptoms such as leg pain, fatigue and itching. Patients treated with the extract also showed a greater resistance to oedema induction



Crataegus species (monogyna, oxyacantha, et.al.)

How used: liquid / solid extracts, encapsulated powdered fruit, leaf/flower

Why used: Symptoms of congestive heart failure, esp. angina and dyspnea. Hypertension and hypotension.

Pharmacology: Complex cocktail of bioflavonoids, esp. anthocyanidins





*Hawthorn

Pittler, Guo and Ernst 2008 Cochrane Collaborative

Comparison 1. Hawthorn extract versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Maximum work load	5	380	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	5.35 [0.71, 10.00]
2 Exercise tolerance (Watt min)	2	98	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	122.76 [32.74, 212. 78]
3 Pressure-heart rate product	5	329	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-19.22 [-30.46, -7. 98]
4 Symptom scores according to v Zerssen	3	239	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-5.47 [-8.68, -2.26]
5 6-min walk test	1	111	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-8.0 [-34.49, 18.49]
6 LVEF%	1	40	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.7 [0.88, 2.52]

*Hawthorn

Safety concerns: blood coagulability

Dalli et al. 2011

Table 1

Platelet aggregation with ADP, collagen, and arachidonic acid.

	Baseline	C laevigata	Baseline	Aspirin
ADP (Imax) (mm)	107.6 ± 23.6	$108.3\pm\!29.2$	103.6 ± 26.3	64.6±15.7*#
ADP (Imax 5 min) (mm)	100.8 ± 36.9	100.3 ± 44.9	89.0 ± 49.5	20.0±23.1*#
Collagen (Imax) (mm)	127.5 ± 12.5	126.2 ± 9.5	113.5 ± 25.8	39.6±27.6*#
Collagen (Imax 5 min) (mm)	127.5 ± 12.5	126.7 ± 9.6	112.9 ± 28.2	32.1±28.5*#
Arachidonic acid (Imax) (mm)	128.8 ± 11.4	124.8 ± 12.7	120.1 ± 7.6	6.5±7.1*#

Only aspirin inhibited platelet aggregation. * Baseline vs aspirin: p<0.0001. # C. *laevigata* vs aspirin post-treatment: p<0.0001. Values are mean \pm SD.



Ginkgo biloba

How used: leaf extract capsules, 24% flavo-glycosides 8% lactones stndz.

Why used: Cognitive symptoms associated with vascular dementia, peripheral vascular disease

Pharmacology: Unique lactones (ginkgolides) and flavonoid glycosides









Weinmann et al. 2010 "Effects of Ginkgo biloba in dementia: systematic review and metaanalysis."

Generally effects are more conclusive in vascular dementia than Alzheimer's dementia, for which Ginkgo does poorly. Napryeyenko 2007 - outlier. Most effects are modest.

	G	inkgo		PI	acebo			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mea	n Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Rand	dom, 95% Cl	
lhl 2008	-1.4	2.8	202	0.3	2.8	202	15.2%	-0.61 [-0.81, -0.41]	-	•	
Kanowski 1996	-2.1	3.15	106	-1	3.05	99	14.9%	-0.35 [-0.63, -0.08]	15	-	
LeBars 1997	-0.3	5.35	134	1	5.32	134	15.0%	-0.24 [-0.48, -0.00]		-	
Maurer 1997	-2.9	2.5	9	0.8	3.8	9	10.3%	-1.10 [-2.10, -0.09]			
Napryeyenko 2007	-3.2	2.3	198	1.3	2.4	197	15.0%	-1.91 [-2.15, -1.67]			
Schneider 2005	1.6	5.8	169	0.9	5.6	174	15.1%	0.12 [-0.09, 0.33]		+	
vanDongen 2000	0.8	4.1	79	1.2	3.8	40	14.4%	-0.10 [-0.48, 0.28]		-	
Total (95% CI)			897			855	100.0%	-0.58 [-1.14, -0.01]	-		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.53; CI	ni² = 17	78.92. d	if = 6 (P	< 0.00	0001); I	² = 97%				+
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.01	(P = (0.04)						-4 -2 Favors Ginkg	o Favors Placel	4 bo



Safety concerns: blood coagulability

Kellerman and Kloft 2011

- Pooled 18 trials, n=1985. 13% healthy volunteers
- Blood viscosity decrease favors Ginkgo (better tissue perfusion)
- No alteration in ADP-induced platelet aggregation, fibrinogen, PT
- Standardized GBE does not carry a higher risk of bleeding, even at higher (240mg+ QD) doses



Piper methysticum

How used: liquid extracts, extract capsules, traditional preparations of root

Why used: Anxiety

Pharmacology: Lactones (kavalactones - relatively unique pungent bitters)







Ernst and Pittler, Cochrane Collaborative 2003

Effective for anxiety, Safe 1-24wks

Witte, Loew, Gauss 2005 5.94pt improvement on HAMA Greater effect in: women, younger patients





Safety concerns: liver damage, driving, interactions with pharmaceuticals

Stevenson, Huntley and Ernst 2002 Safety review

Kava extracts do not impair cognitive performance and vigilance; Do not potentiate the effects of central nervous system depressants. Possible interaction with benzodiazepines has been reported.

Teschke, Genthner, Wolff 2009, Journal of Ethnopharmacology

- Hepatotoxicity isn't related to solvent (acqueous or ethanolic)
- May be related to poor quality / incorrect raw material

 \cap

Hypericum perforatum

How used: liquid extracts, extract capsules

Why used: Depression

Pharmacology: Complex. Resin w/ quinones (hypericin), hyperforin





Linde et al. 2005 Meta-review Cochrane Collaborative Depression (broad range)

Compared small vs large trials Compared major vs non-major

Similar to standard antidepressants

Study	Hypericum n/N	Placebo n/N	RR (fixed) 95% CI	RR (fixed) 95% CI			
Restricted to major depression - smaller (less precise) trials							
Hänsgen 1996	35/53	12/54		2.97 (1.74-5.07)			
Kalb 2001	23/37	15/35	+ - -	1.45 (0.92-2.29)			
Laakmann 1998	24/49	16/49	+	1.50 (0.92-2.46)			
Lehri 1993	4/25	2/25		2.00 (0.40-9.95)			
Schrader 1998	45/80	12/79		3.70 (2.12-6.46)			
Shelton 2001	26/98	19/102		1.42 (0.84-2.40)			
Subtotal (95% CI)	342	344	•	2.06 (1.65-2.59)			
Total events 157 (Hypericum)	76 (Placebo)						
Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^3=11$	1.86, d.f.=5 (P=0.04), P=57.9%					
Test for overall effect: Z=6.25	9 (P<0.00001)						
Restricted to major depression	- larger (more prec	ise) trials					
HDTSG 2002	46/113	56/116		0.84 (0.63-1.13)			
Lecurbier 2002	98/186	80/189		1.24 (1.00-1.54)			
Montgomery 2000	55/123	57/124	-	0.97 (0.74-1.28)			
Philipp 1999	67/106	22/47	L.	1.35 (0.96-1.89)			
Volz 2000	46/70	34/70		1.35 (1.01-1.82)			
Witte 1995	34/48	25/49		1.39 (1.00-1.93)			
Subsotal (95% CI)	646	595	•	1.15 (1.02-1.29)			
Total events: 346 (Hypericum)), 274 (Placebo)		l.				
Test for heterogeneity: y ¹ =0.	62. d.f.=5 (P=0.09)	I ² =48.0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.36	6 (P=0.02)						
Not restricted to major depress	sion – smaller (less)	precise) trials					
Halama 1991	10/25	0/25		21.00 (1.30-340.02)			
Hoffmann 1979	19/30	3/30		6.33 (2.09-19.17)			
Osterheider 1992	0/22	0/23		Not estimable			
Quandt 1993	29/44	3/44		9.67 (3.18-29.41)			
Schlich 1987	15/25	3/24		4.80 (1.59-14.50)			
Schmidt 1989	10/20	4/20		2.50 (0.94-6.66)			
Subtotal (95% CI)	166	166	-	6.13 (3.63-10.38)			
Total events: 83 (Hypericum),	13 (Placebo)	1-14.00					
lest for heterogeneity: $\chi'=4$	81, d.1.=4 (P=0.31)	1.=19'932					
lest for overall effect Z=6.70	6 (1<0.00001)						
Not restricted to major depress	sion - larger (more)	precise) trials					
Hübner 1993	14/20	9/20	+- •	1.56 (0.89-2.73)			
König 1993	29/55	31/57		0.97 (0.69-1.37)			
Reh 1992	20/25	11/25		1.82 (1.12-2.95)			
Schmidt 1993	20/32	6/33		3.44 (1.59-7.44)			
Sommer 1994	28/50	13/55		2.37 (1.39-4.04)			
Winkel 2000	34/60	17/59		1.97 (1.24-3.11)			
Subtotal (95% CI)	242	249	•	1.71 (1.40-2.09)			
Total events: 145 (Hypericum	i), 87 (Placebo)						
Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 15.48$, d.f.=5 (P=0.008), l ² =67.7%							
Test for overall effect: Z=5.31 (P<0.00001)							
		0.1 0.	2 0.5 1 2 5 10)			
		favour	s placebo favours Hoteriou				

Figure 1. Forest plot of comparison: I Hypericum mono-preparations vs. placebo A. Dichotomous measures, outcome: 1.1 Responder - grouped by precision - primary analysis.

Placebo **Risk Ratio** Risk Ratio Hypericum Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% CI M-H, Random, 95% CI 1.1.1 Less precise trials Bierkenstedt 2005 22 54 21 55 5.3% 1.07 [0.67, 1.70] Fava 2005 17 45 9 43 3.7% 1.80 [0.90, 3.60] Hänsgen 1996 35 53 12 55 4.8% 3.03 [1.77, 5.17] Kalb 2001 23 37 15 35 5.4% 1.45 [0.92, 2.29] Laakmann 1998 24 49 16 49 5.1% 1.50 [0.92, 2.46] 20 Moreno 2005 4 11 26 2.4% 0.47 [0.18, 1.27] Schrader 1998 45 80 12 79 4.6% 3.70 [2.12, 8.46] 98 Shelton 2001 26 19 102 4.9% 1.42 [0.84, 2.40] 41 70 70 2.4% Uebelhack 2004 4 10.25 [3.88, 27.09] 506 514 38.8% Subtotal (95% CI) 1.87 [1.22, 2.87] 237 119 Total events Heterogeneity: Tau# = 0.32; Chi# = 38.33, df = 8 (P < 0.00001); I# = 79% Test for overall effect Z = 2.88 (P = 0.004) 1.1.2 More precise trials Bracher 2001 64 109 109 7.0% 1.33 [1.02, 1.74] 48 Gastpar 2006 71 131 51 130 7.0% 1.38 [1.06, 1.80] HDTSG 2002 113 6.8% 46 56 116 0.84 [0.63, 1.13] Kasper 2006 159 243 26 6.5% 2.04 [1.47, 2.83] 81 Lecrubier 2002 98 186 80 189 7.4% 1.24 [1.00, 1.54] Montgomery 2000 55 123 57 124 6.9% 0.97 [0.74, 1.28] Philipp 1999 67 106 22 47 6.4% 1.35 [0.96, 1.89] 70 Volz 2000 46 34 70 6.8% 1.35 [1.01, 1.82] Witte 1995 34 48 25 49 6.5% 1.39 [1.00, 1.93] Subtotal (95% CI) 1129 915 61.2% 1.28 [1.10, 1.49] Total events 640 399 Heterogeneity: Tau# = 0.03; Chi# = 20.33, df = 8 (P = 0.009); I# = 61% Test for overall effect Z = 3.17 (P = 0.002) Total (95% CI) 1635 1429 100.0% 1.48 [1.23, 1.77] Total events 877 518 Heterogeneity: Tau# = 0.10; Chi# = 68.87, df = 17 (P < 0.00001); I# = 75% 0.1 0.2 0.5 10 5 Test for overall effect Z = 4.21 (P < 0.0001) favours placebo favours hypericum

Linde et al. 2008 Meta-review update Cochrane Collaborative Depression (major only)

Similar to standard antidepressants but with fewer side-effects

Safety concerns: hepatic CYP450 induction Russo et al. 2013 review

Prescribed drug	Clinical results of the interaction with HP	Possible mechanism	References
Antihistamine			
Fexofenadine	Increased the maximum plasma concentration and decreased the oral c learance		Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2002; Di <i>et al.</i> , 2008
Bronchodilator			
Theophylline	Decreased plasma concentration	Induction of hepatic cytochromes	Chen <i>et al.,</i> 2012
Cardiovascular			
Warfarin	A loss of the anticoagulant effect; significant reduction in the pharmacological effect of racemic warfarin	Particle formation in aqueous solution with HP; induction of CYP3A4	Gröning <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Jiang <i>et al.</i> , 2004
Phenprocoumon	Decreased plasma levels	Induction of CYP3A4	Chen et al., 2011
Nifedipine	Induced metabolism with increased plasma concentrations of dehydronifedipine	Induction of CYP3A4 and CYP2C19	Wang et al., 2007
Verapamil	Reduced bioavailability	Induction of first-pass CYP3A4 metabolism	Tannergren et al., 2004
Digoxin	Decreased intestinal absorption; reduction of plasma AUC and Cmax	Induction of the P-gp	Gottesman et al., 1996; Johne et al., 1999
Hypolipidemic			
Atorvastatin	Increased LDL Increased total cholesterol	Increases CYP3A4 and P- gp activity	Holtzman <i>et al.</i> , 2006; Markowitz <i>et al.</i> , 2003
Simvastatin	Increased LDL	Decreased plasma concentrations	Sugimoto et al., 2001
Gastrointestinal			
Omeprazole, esomeprazole,	Decrease plasma concentration of proton pump inhibitors	Induction of CYP2C19	Wang et al., 2004

Safety concerns: hepatic CYP450 induction. Russo et al. 2013 review

Loperamide	Brief episode of delirium	Theoretically induces a monoamine oxidase inhibitor-drug reaction	Khawaja <i>et al.,</i> 1999
Oral contraceptives			
Etinilestradiol and desogestrel Etinilestradiol and noretindrone	Reduction of plasmatic concentration, bleeding, and pregnancies Increased clearance of noretindrone and decreased half-time of etinilestradiol Increased metabolism of noretindrone and etinilestradiol	Induction of CYP3A4	Zhou et al., 2004; Hall et al., 2003; Borrelli and Izzo, 2009; Dresser et al. 2003; Izzo, 2004
Non-steroidal antiinflan	nmatory drugs		
Ibuprofen	Reduction of plasmatic concentration	Increase expression of glycoprotein G	Bell et al., 2007b; Zhou et al., 2004; Izzo, 2004; Dresser et al., 2003
Corticosteroids			
Dexamethasone, prednisone, and budesonide	Reduction of plasmatic concentration	Induction of CYP3A4	Izzo, 2004; Bell <i>et al.</i> , 2007a
Opioids			
Methadone and pethidine	Reduction of plasmatic concentration and	Induction of CYP2D2	Dostalek et al., 2005
Dextromethorphan	abstinence syndrome		
Oxycodone	Reduction of plasmatic concentration Reduction of plasmatic concentration	Induction of CYP3A4	Nieminen et al., 2010
Antimicrobial			
Voriconazole	Decreased AUC	Induction of CYP3A4, CYP2C19, and CYP2C9	Borrelli and Izzo, 2009
Erythromycin	Increased metabolism of erythromycin (decreased AUC)	Induction of CYP3A4 (40%)	Borrelli and Izzo, 2009

Safety concerns: hepatic CYP450 induction. Russo et al. 2013 review

Antineoplastic

Imatinib Irinotecan Docetaxel Immunosuppressants Cyclosporine Tacrolimus Hypoglycaemic agents Gliclazide Tolbutamide Decreased plasma concentration Altered hepatic metabolism Decreased clinical efficacy Decreased plasma concentration Organ rejection

Decreased plasma concentration

Induction CYP3A4 and P-gp

Induction enzymes cytochrome and P-gp

Induction enzymes cytochrome and P-gp Caraci et al., 2011 Izzo and Ernst, 2009

He et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2005 Mai et al., 2003

Izzo and Ernst, 2009 Di et al., 2008



Echinacea species (purpurea, angustifolia, pallida) How used: liquid extracts, encapsulated root and/or leaf Why used: Prevention and treatment of the common cold Pharmacology: Complex. Alkyl amides, hmw polysaccharides





Shah et al. Lancet Meta-review 2007



Figure 3: The effect of echinacea on incidence of common cold

The squares represent individual studies and the size of the square represents the weight given to each study in the meta-analysis. Error bars represent 95% CIs. The diamond represents the combined result. The solid vertical line extending upwards from 1-0 is the null value.



Figure 4: The effect of echinacea on duration of common cold

The squares represent individual studies and the size of the square represents the weight given to each study in the meta-analysis. Error bars represent 95% CIs. The diamond represents the combined result. The solid vertical line extending upwards from 0 is the null value.

But... Barrett et al 2010 N=719, 4 groups Showed no effect



Safety concerns:

antiretroviral interaction? Moltó J et al 2011 found no effect w/etravirine

Contraindicated in autoimmune disease? Theoretical from T-cell activation potential *in vitro*. Neri et al 2011 found no effect on idiopathic autoimmune uveitis



Astragalus membranaceus. aka huang-qi

How used: liquid extracts, encapsulated or sliced dry root

Why used: Renoprotective in nephrosis, chemotherapy adjunct

Pharmacology: Steroidal saponins (astragaloside), hmw polysaccharides





Preventing infection w/nephrotic syndrome in children: Wu et al., Cochrane Collaborative 2012 (RR 0.62, 95% CI 0.47 to 0.83)

Alongside platinum-based chemotherapy for NSC lung cancer McCulloch et al 2006 Pooled 34 trials for 2,815 patients Increased tumor response, survival. Very safe, free of side effects

- Injection included
- Combination formulas included

McCulloch et al 2006



Fin & Tumor response with Astranalusbased horbs and platinum-based chemotherany versus platinum-based chemotherany alone



Glycine max

How used: food, protein isolate, isoflavone isolate capsules

Why used: Plasma lipid and cholesterol management, menopausal symptoms Pharmacology: Isoflavones (genistein, daidzein)







Plasma lipid and cholesterol management:

Anderson and Bush 2011, Meta-analysis. 4-5% reduction in LDL, 3.2% increase in HDL, 10.6% reduction in TG

Builds on Taku et al. 2007, Meta-analysis. Similar results on reducing LDL and TC



*Soy

Menopausal symptoms:

Taku, Kyoko, et al. "Extracted or synthesized soybean isoflavones reduce menopausal hot flash frequency and severity: systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials." *Menopause* 19.7 (2012): 776-790. Frequency reduced 20%, severity 26%

Bolaños, Rafael, Angélica Del Castillo, and José Francia. "Soy isoflavones versus placebo in the treatment of climacteric vasomotor symptoms: systematic review and meta-analysis." *Menopause* 17.3 (2010): 660. Frequency reduced 39%, high heterogeneity of pooled trials



Bone density:

Some reviews, such as Wei et al. 2012, show modest effects on bone mineral density. Others, such as Ricci 2010 show no effects.

Taku et al 2010 Found modest effects on BMD in the spine of post-menopausal women.



Safety considerations: phytoestrogen content in ER-dependent tumors

Dong et al. 2011 reviews prospective studies on incidence / recurrence .89 HR on average for incidence .76 HR for incidence in Asian women, not significant in Western women .84 HR for recurrence on average

Xu et al. 2013, building on previous retrospective work at Vanderbilt, Reviews retrospective studies on post-diagnosis survival / recurrence

Soy intake is associated with .85 HR for mortality .79 HR for recurrence Best outcomes in ER- and ER+/PR+ tumors, postmenopausal women

*note: may be useful for lung, colorectal tumors as well

* Revil's Claw

Harpagophytum procumbens

How used: liquid extracts, extract capsules

Why used: Back pain, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis

Pharmacology: Triterpinoids, iridoid glycosides in resin



* Revil's Claw

Osteoarthritis / back pain:

Gagnier et al 2007 (Cochrane Collaborative): higher quality trials (3) demonstrate benefit in spine / low back pain

Wegener T, Lupke NP. Treatment of patients with arthrosis of hip or knee with an aqueous extract of devil's claw (Harpagophytum procumbens DC.). Phytother Res 2003;17:1165-1172. *open-label. Pain scores reduced 20-24% after 12 weeks

Chantre P, Cappelaere A, Leblan D, et al. Efficacy and tolerance of Harpagophytum procumbens versus diacerhein in treatment of osteoarthritis. Phytomedicine 2000;7:177-183 Pain reduced similarly between groups, less rescue medication, fewer side eff

* Revil's Claw

Safety considerations:

May affect GI tract: bitter irodoids, resins. Caution in GI ulceration May affect CYP450 enzymes (inihibitor of isoforms 2C8 3A4)

Unger and Frank, 2004

*Herbal medicines

Current research on effectiveness, pharmacology, and safety

Guido Masé RH(AHG) Vermont Center for Integrative Herbalism www.vtherbcenter.org

